

**Flint Hills Air Monitoring Network  
Data Analysis**

**Quarterly Summary Report  
October 10, 2005**

**STS Consultants, Ltd.  
Maple Grove, MN**

## Table of Contents

<b>1.0 INTRODUCTION</b> .....	<b>1</b>
<b>2.0 REVIEW SUMMARY</b> .....	<b>3</b>
2.1 Data Review/Findings .....	3
2.1.1 Health Standards and Comparison .....	3
2.1.2 Data Comparison to Air Quality Standards .....	4
<b>3.0 CONCLUSIONS</b> .....	<b>13</b>

Flint Hills  
 STS Project 30012  
 October 10, 2005

## 1.0 INTRODUCTION

The Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA), as part of their state-wide air quality assessment network, currently conducts ambient air quality monitoring at five sites near the Flint Hills Rosemount petroleum refinery (facility). Table 1 summarizes which parameters are currently being monitored at each of these locations.

**Table 1. Monitoring Site Summary**

Parameter	Desig.	Site 420	Site 421	Site 423	Site 441	Site 442
Carbon Monoxide <sup>1</sup>	CO	X		X		
Nitric Oxides <sup>1</sup>	NO <sub>x</sub>	X		X		
Nitrogen Dioxide <sup>1</sup>	NO <sub>2</sub>	X		X		
Sulfur Dioxide <sup>1</sup>	SO <sub>2</sub>	X		X	X	X
Total Reduced Sulfur <sup>1</sup>	TRS				X	X
Hazardous Air Pollutants <sup>2</sup>	HAPs	X		X	X	X
Total Suspended Particulates	TSPs	X	X	X		X
Metals	--	X	X	X		X
Wind Speed	WS	X		X		
Wind Direction	WD	X		X		
Temperature	Temp	X		X		

<sup>1</sup> Emitted from refinery in reportable quantities

<sup>2</sup> Only certain HAPs emitted from refinery in reportable quantities

Each of the parameters listed above are being measured in the field on a continuous basis, except for the hazardous air pollutants (HAPs), the total suspended particulates (TSPs), and metals. HAPs, TSPs, and metals samples are taken as 24-hour composite samples, collected once every six days. HAPs and TSPs samples are sent to the MPCA laboratory where, for HAPs samples, they are analyzed for a variety of specific volatile compounds, for TSPs samples, they are analyzed for a variety of inorganic elements, and for metal samples, they are analyzed for sixteen specific metals.

STS Consultants, Ltd. (STS) was requested by the Flint Hills Community Advisory Council (Council) in 2002 to evaluate the data being generated by this local monitoring network with respect to facility impacts. An initial analysis of the data was performed in 2002 and presented to the Council on March 6, 2002. The first quarter of the calendar year 2005 was the most recently evaluated dataset by STS. This report integrates the

Flint Hills  
STS Project 30012  
October 10, 2005

previous data with these very latest data. The newly acquired data includes data collected for the criteria pollutants: CO, NO<sub>x</sub>, and SO<sub>2</sub>, for the HAPs samples and for the TSPs samples, with metals data.

The following five chemicals have been included in each of these criteria pollutant/HAP evaluations: sulfur dioxide, nitrogen dioxide, formaldehyde, carbon tetrachloride and benzene. Ethylbenzene has typically been included in this evaluation. However, since the levels detected are very low and no changes have been observed in the level of this chemical over the past year, no evaluation was performed on these data in this report.

STS received the metals data from the MPCA in January 2005 which included a year of data for each metal from the fourth quarter of the calendar year 2003 to the third quarter of the calendar year 2004. These data were previously analyzed and the results were presented to the Council on February 14, 2005. The metals data for the first quarter of the calendar year 2005 was most recently evaluated by STS. The following sixteen metals were included in the dataset: aluminum, antimony, arsenic, barium, beryllium, cadmium, chromium, cobalt, copper, iron, lead, manganese, mercury, nickel, selenium, and zinc. Measurements were taken for all sixteen metals at four of the five monitored sites. Only seven of the sixteen metals have a health risk value. These seven are included in this evaluation: antimony, arsenic, beryllium, cadmium, chromium, manganese, and nickel. Based on our initial air quality evaluation (March 2002) for the Council, five metals were designated as target analytes: chromium, cobalt, manganese, nickel, and zinc. There are no longer any state/federal standards/criterion that exist for these metals, however.

The TSP data reported by the MPCA did not contain a particle size distribution. The only air quality standards that exist for particulate matter are the PM<sub>10</sub>, particles that are less than 10 microns in diameter, and the PM<sub>2.5</sub>, particles that are less than 2.5 microns in diameter. Since no total particulate standard exists and a particle size distribution was not performed on the TSP data by the MPCA, no health analysis could be performed related to total suspended particulates. Also, since the ambient air quality standards for these metals assume respirable particulates, i.e. PM<sub>10</sub>, comparison of the TSP metals data to these standards is a highly conservative procedure.

Flint Hills  
STS Project 30012  
October 10, 2005

## 2.0 REVIEW SUMMARY

### 2.1 Data Review/Findings

#### 2.1.1 Health Standards and Comparison

In order to put these ambient air monitoring data into perspective in regards to public health impacts, a toxicity assessment for each requested, monitored chemical was first completed. This toxicity assessment involved a search of USEPA chemical toxicity databases (IRIS, 2005; HEAST, 1997), the Minnesota Department of Health's Health Risk Values (HRVs), and California EPA's Air Toxics Standards. Presented in Table 2 are the results of this search. The results in Table 2 have been recently updated since the last report submittal in August 2005. The updated modifications include: the state standards/criteria for formaldehyde and benzene have become less stringent, whereas, the state/federal standard/criteria for chromium (VI) has become more stringent. Additionally, there are state standards/criteria for manganese and nickel. Both the cobalt and zinc criteria are no longer in use (MPCA personal communication).

Listed in Table 2 are air concentrations of each chemical of concern in this study that are believed to be safe for the public to be exposed to. Acute criteria represent acceptable air concentrations of chemicals for exposure up to a one hour time period. Chronic criteria are air concentrations of chemicals that the public can be exposed to continuously throughout their entire lifetime.

Flint Hills  
 STS Project 30012  
 October 10, 2005

**Table 2: Health Criteria**

Chemical	Federal Standards/Criteria	State Standards/Criteria <sup>D</sup>	
		Acute	Chronic
<b>Criteria Pollutants<sup>A</sup></b>			
Nitrogen Dioxide	53	250 (CAL EPA)	
Sulfur Dioxide	500 (3); 140 (24); 31		
<b>HAPs<sup>B</sup></b>			
Formaldehyde	0.65	77	0.8
Carbon Tetrachloride	0.11	300 (CAL EPA)	
Benzene	0.41	314	1.3 – 4.5
Ethylbenzene	231	2,308	
<b>TSPs<sup>C</sup></b>			
Antimony	0.2		0.2
Arsenic	0.002		0.002
Beryllium	0.004		0.004
Cadmium	0.006		0.006
Chromium (VI)	0.0008		0.0008
Manganese	0.02		0.2
Nickel	0.04	6	0.04

<sup>A</sup> NAAQS (National Ambient Air Quality Standard).

<sup>B</sup> RfC (ppb); carcinogens set at  $10^{-5}$  risk level: (3) = 3-hour standard, (24) = 24-hour standard; criteria not identified with an exposure period are chronic standards.

<sup>C</sup> Total Suspended Particulates

<sup>D</sup> Minnesota HRV ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ ); acute standard = 1-hour exposure: chronic standard = annual exposure. CAL EPA = California Environmental Protection Agency

### 2.1.2 Data Comparison to Air Quality Standards

The first quarter of the calendar year 2005 for the HAPs samples were evaluated by STS in the same manner as was performed in the previous submittals to the Council. The TSPs samples were evaluated in the same manner as the HAPs samples in the past. Each monitored parameter at each of the monitoring sites for this quarter was analyzed to compute standard descriptive statistics: maxima and means for annual and 1-hour averaging times (also 3-hour for  $\text{SO}_2$ ), as well as the 95% confidence limits of the mean values. Also calculated was the probability (chance) that the true average of the data would exceed the health criteria for a chemical. No numerical adjustment was made to the HAPs and TSPs data to estimate the annual average for comparison to the standards since a year of data has been collected. Since the HAPs and TSPs analyses were obtained with 24-hour integrated samples, a numerical adjustment was made to adjust the data to the shorter averaging period, when applicable. [Note: as discussed in the report submitted to the Council on May 10, 2004, this adjustment could not completely

Flint Hills  
STS Project 30012  
October 10, 2005

account for the “worst” one hour-averaged concentration of each acute toxic chemical as is desirable for the acute risk analysis. Only continuous monitoring could accurately provide that data.]

The results of this descriptive analysis are presented in Figures 1 through 5 for the two criteria pollutants, SO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>2</sub>, and Figures 6 through 9 for the HAP chemicals. Figures 10 through 17 present the descriptive analysis for the seven metals, which possess health criteria. Each figure has been formatted in a similar manner. Data for the other seven metals has been summarized in Table 3.

For the criteria pollutants, HAPs, and metals with a health risk value, the X-axis designates the latest quarter from which the data are taken. On the Y-axis is the air concentration range chosen to encompass both the chemical’s health criterion and the maximum or calculated average of the monitoring data. Data specific to each monitor location is designated by a different symbol. The “criterion line” does not contain any symbols. The smaller graph is an expanded image of the latest 2005 first quarter data. The small graph has the data points affiliated with each monitoring site, as well as the monitored concentration ranges (for maximum values) or the standard deviation (for averaged values).

Reading each figure is performed in an identical manner. If the monitoring data for any given reporting period at any given monitor is less than the criterion concentration, then with respect to that chemical at that location, no risk to public health is present. For example, Figure 1 shows the three-hour averaged data for sulfur dioxide. The health criterion is 500 ppb. All of the 2005 first quarter monitoring data are at or below 15 ppb. Thus, it can be concluded from these data that acute exposure to sulfur dioxide in the areas of the monitors does not present a health risk to the public. Statistical analyses of these data were performed, but not shown on this figure because the confidence interval is so small. In a separate analysis, it was determined that there is less than 0.01% chance that the true three hour-averaged sulfur dioxide concentration at these monitoring locations would actually exceed this air quality standard.

Flint Hills  
STS Project 30012  
October 10, 2005

The following sections detail the analysis for each of the 17 figures.

#### Sulfur Dioxide 3-hour Average

Figure 1 displays the maximum three-hour averages for sulfur dioxide at the four monitored sites and compares the concentrations with a 500 ppb criterion. The maximum three-hour average was calculated from the hourly data available in each quarter. Each monitoring site has a maximum well below the criterion. The monitoring data are all less than 20 ppb, considerably below the USEPA standard of 500 ppb. Statistical analysis of the data indicates that there is less than 0.01% chance probability that these data would exceed this standard.

#### Sulfur Dioxide 24-hour Average

Figure 2 displays the maximum 24-hour averages for sulfur dioxide at the four monitored sites and compares the concentrations with a 140 ppb criterion. The maximum 24-hour average was calculated from the hourly data available. Each monitoring site has a maximum well below the criterion. The monitoring data are all less than 6 ppb, considerably below the USEPA standard of 140 ppb. Statistical analysis of the data indicates that there is less than 0.01% chance probability that these data would exceed this standard.

#### Sulfur Dioxide Annual Average

Figure 3 displays the average annual concentration for sulfur dioxide at the four monitored sites and compares the concentrations with a 31 ppb criterion. The data presented are rolling annual averages with the latest quarterly data in the dataset denoted on the X-axis. The annual averages for each monitoring site and 95% confidence level are well below the criterion. The expanded view also illustrates that the means are less than 5% of the criteria. The monitoring data all have averages less than 1 ppb, considerably below the USEPA standard of 31 ppb. Statistical analysis of the data indicates that there is less than 0.1% chance probability that these data would exceed this standard. The use of rolling averages allows discernment of time trends in the data. As shown in this figure, the sulfur dioxide levels have remained relatively flat over these five quarters.

Flint Hills  
STS Project 30012  
October 10, 2005

#### Nitrogen Dioxide 1-hour Average

Figure 4 displays the maximum one-hour averages for nitrogen dioxide at the two monitored sites that addresses this pollutant and compares these concentrations with a 250 ppb criterion. The maximum one-hour average was calculated from the hourly data available. Each monitoring site has a maximum well below the criterion. The monitoring data are less than 55 ppb, considerably below the USEPA standard of 250 ppb. Statistical analysis of the data indicates that there is less than 0.01% chance probability that these data would exceed this standard.

#### Nitrogen Dioxide Annual Average

Figure 5 displays the annual averages for nitrogen dioxide at the two monitored sites that address this pollutant and compares these concentrations with a 53 ppb criterion. Rolling annual averages are again shown on this figure. The annual averages for each monitoring site and 95% confidence level are well below the criterion. The expanded view illustrates that the means are less than 20% of the criteria. The monitoring data all have averages less than 15 ppb, considerably below the USEPA standard of 53 ppb. Statistical analysis of the data indicates that there is less than 0.2% chance probability that these data would exceed this standard. As with sulfur dioxide, the nitrogen dioxide data indicate that its concentration has remained relatively constant over these five quarters.

#### Formaldehyde 1-hour Average

Figure 6 displays the maximum one-hour averages for formaldehyde at the four monitored sites and compares the concentrations with a 77 ppb criterion. The maximum one-hour average was scaled from the 24-hour averaged data available with a factor of 2.5. Each monitoring site has a maximum well below the criterion. The monitoring data are all less than 2.5 ppb, below the Minnesota Department of Health standard of 77 ppb. Statistical analysis of the data indicates that there is less than 0.1% chance probability that these data would exceed this standard. There seems to be a trend downward from the spring months (Quarter 2, 2004) to the summer / fall months (Quarter 4, 2004) and into winter (Quarter 1, 2005).

Flint Hills  
STS Project 30012  
October 10, 2005

#### Formaldehyde Annual Average

Figure 7 displays the annual average concentrations for formaldehyde at the four monitored sites and compares them to a 0.8 ppb criterion. These data are again rolling annual averages. No data were collected from site 420 in the first quarter of the calendar year 2004. As shown in this figure, the calculated annual averaged mean concentrations of formaldehyde, at all four stations, are above the formaldehyde health criterion. The data trend from the first quarter of 2004 to the third quarter of 2004 has been upward, but seems to have leveled off over the latest nine months. The MPCA suggested that, "This upward trend in the data during the summer and fall seasons can be accounted for by biogenetics such as trees, and automobile exhaust." The formaldehyde levels at these four monitoring sites are within the range of data observed at the other monitoring sites throughout the Twin Cities area (see Figure 7A). Thus, these data indicate that these concentrations are regional, not a local phenomenon.

#### Carbon Tetrachloride Annual Average

Figure 8 displays the annual averages for carbon tetrachloride at the four monitored sites and compares these concentrations with a 0.11 ppb criterion. These data are also rolling averages. The scaled annual average for each monitoring site and its 95% confidence level are below the criterion. The expanded view illustrates that the standard deviations are less than 0.11 ppb; the averages less than 0.1 ppb. Statistical analysis of the data indicates that there is less than 0.1% chance probability that these data would exceed this standard. The trend in these data as a function of time is also upward since the fourth quarter 2003, with a plateau over the latest nine months. The MPCA was not able to provide a definite reason for this upward trend. However, the MPCA stated that "They have seen this trend throughout the state's monitoring system, but that no conclusion can be made on these data until four to five years of data have been collected and analyzed."

#### Benzene Annual Average

Figure 9 displays the rolling annual averages for benzene at the four monitored sites and compares these concentrations with a 1.3 ppb criterion. The annual average for each monitoring site and its 95% confidence level are below the criterion. The monitoring data all have averages less than 0.25 ppb, below the Minnesota Department of Health standard of 1.3 ppb. Statistical analysis of the data indicates that there is less than 0.1%

Flint Hills  
STS Project 30012  
October 10, 2005

chance probability that these data would exceed this standard. The time trend in the data is relatively flat over these five quarters.

#### Antimony Annual Average

Figure 10 displays the rolling annual averages for antimony as calculated from the TSP data at four of the five monitored sites. These data are compared to the  $0.2 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$  criterion. The annual average for each monitoring site and its 95% confidence level are below the criterion. The monitoring data all have averages less than  $0.002 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ , considerably below the Minnesota Department of Health standard of  $0.2 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ . Statistical analysis of the data indicates that there is less than 0.01% chance probability that these data would exceed this standard. The time trend in this data was continuously upward over 2004, but has now plateaued (not shown by Figure).

#### Arsenic Annual Average

Figure 11 displays the rolling annual averages for arsenic as calculated from the TSP data at four of the five monitored sites. These data are compared to the  $0.002 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$  criterion. The annual average for each monitoring site is less than  $0.0015 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ , which is below the Minnesota Department of Health standard. Statistical analysis of the data indicates that there is less than 10% chance probability that these data would exceed this standard. Since these site data are based on TSP and not  $\text{PM}_{10}$ , this comparison to the standard is highly conservative. There seems to be a slight upward trend in the ambient air concentration of arsenic early in year 2004, which has lately decreased.

#### Beryllium Annual Average

Figure 12 displays the rolling annual averages for beryllium as calculated from the TSP data at the four monitored sites. These data are compared to the  $0.004 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$  criterion. The annual average for this monitoring site and its 95% confidence level are below the criterion. The monitoring data have an average less than  $0.0001 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ , considerably below the Minnesota Department of Health standard of  $0.004 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ . Statistical analysis of the data indicates that there is less than 0.1% chance probability that these data would exceed this standard. The time trend in this data is continuously upward over 2004, but has lately plateaued.

Flint Hills  
STS Project 30012  
October 10, 2005

#### Cadmium Annual Average

Figure 13 displays the rolling annual averages for cadmium as calculated from the TSP data at the four monitored sites. These data are compared to the  $0.006 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$  criterion. The annual average for this monitoring site and its 95% confidence level are below the criterion. The monitoring data have an average less than or equal to  $0.0005 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ , considerably below the Minnesota Department of Health standard of  $0.006 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ . Statistical analysis of the data indicates that there is less than 0.2% chance probability that these data would exceed this standard. The time trend in this data is gradually upward over the past year.

#### Chromium Annual Average

Figure 14 displays the rolling annual averages for total chromium as calculated from the TSP data at the four monitored sites. These data are compared to the  $0.0008 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$  chromium VI criterion. The annual average and its 95% confidence interval for each monitoring site are above the criterion. The time trend in these data is upward over the past year. However, the time trend for sites 421 and 442 have decreased slightly over the past three months (2004 Quarter 4 to 2005 Quarter 1).

As shown in Figure 14A, the total chromium concentrations at these monitors is similar in magnitude to that measured at the other Twin Cities sites indicating that they are a regional phenomenon, not a local issue. Since these data are total chromium and not chromium VI and were generated from TSP data, not  $\text{PM}_{10}$  data, no definitive conclusion can be drawn from this finding with respect to the health risk.

Chromium VI is the most toxic form of chromium. California, which monitors both total chromium and chromium VI, shows statewide averages of total chromium to be thirty to fifty times higher than chromium VI. Data from ten other Pilot Cities sites where air monitoring is being funded by EPA have shown nearly identical results. Thus, chromium VI likely contributes only two to three percent to the total chromium concentration. Assuming three percent, all of these monitoring data would fall well below the health standard.

Flint Hills  
STS Project 30012  
October 10, 2005

#### Manganese Annual Average

Figure 15 displays the rolling annual averages for manganese as calculated from the TSP data at the four monitored sites. These data are compared to the  $0.2 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$  criterion. The annual average for each monitoring site and its 95% confidence level are below the criterion. The monitoring data all have averages less than  $0.05 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ , considerably below the Minnesota Department of Health standard of  $0.2 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ . The time trend in these data over the past year may be slightly upward, or plateauing. However, the time trend for these data over the past three months is decreasing (2004 Quarter 4 to 2005 Quarter 1).

#### Nickel 1-hour Average

Figure 16 displays the maximum one-hour averages for nickel as calculated from TSP data at the four monitored sites. These data are compared to the  $6 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$  criterion. The maximum one-hour averages were scaled from the 24-hour averaged data available with a factor of 2.5. Each monitoring site has a maximum and 95% upper confidence limit well below the criterion. The monitoring data are all less than  $0.002 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ , considerably below the Minnesota Department of Health standard of  $6 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ . Statistical analysis of the data indicates that there is less than 0.01% chance probability that these data would exceed this standard. The time trend in these data over the past year has been continuously increasing (not shown on Figure).

#### Nickel Annual Average

Figure 17 displays the rolling annual averages for nickel as calculated from the TSP data at the four monitored sites. These data are compared to the  $0.04 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$  criterion. The annual average for each monitoring site and its 95% confidence level are below the criterion. The monitoring data all have averages less than  $0.002 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ , considerably below the Minnesota Department of Health standard of  $0.04 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ . Statistical analysis of the data indicates that there is less than 0.1% chance probability that these data would exceed this standard. The time trend in these data is gradually upward over the past year.

Flint Hills  
STS Project 30012  
October 10, 2005

### Table 3

Table 3 displays the annual averages and standard deviations for aluminum, barium, cobalt, copper, iron, lead, mercury, selenium, and zinc at the four monitored sites, as calculated from the most recent datasets. The aforementioned metals do not have a health risk criteria so no further evaluation was performed.

### Summary of Results

As requested, a summary of the results from the latest monitoring dataset have also been included. Table 4 displays the chemical, time increment, criterion, and the corresponding result for this last quarter.

Flint Hills  
STS Project 30012  
October 10, 2005

### 3.0 CONCLUSIONS

One HAP air contaminant, formaldehyde, exceeded its standard at all monitoring stations. However, the locations of stations 420 and 441 may play a significant role in their exceedances. These two monitoring stations report the highest concentrations in the local monitoring network, but are still within the range of data reported throughout the Twin Cities. Monitoring station 420 is located adjacent to the intersection of Highways 52 and 55, while monitoring station 441 is located in a valley. It is possible that the formaldehyde measured at these two stations is due in part to vehicle exhaust emissions. The other HAPs (carbon tetrachloride, benzene, and ethylbenzene) have all been consistently well below their health standards.

The data for chromium was shown to exceed its air quality standard. This dataset was generated from total suspended particulates. Total suspended particulates can contain a wide range of particle sizes. However, only particles less than ten microns in diameter ( $PM_{10}$ ) are respirable and therefore of concern for exposure to any metal constituents. Since the  $PM_{10}$  fraction could constitute a small percentage of the TSP measurements, these data could grossly over-estimate the potential risk present. Chromium impacts are additionally likely over-estimated because only chromium VI is considered toxic from the inhalation route of exposure. Most of the chromium in the environment is in the more stable chromium III state. No speciation of the chromium in the ambient air near these stations has been conducted to date.

The common time trend in the data of all the metals evaluated in the last year is a gradual upward trend. However, the data seem to be reaching a plateau, with the same concentrations of analytes decreasing with the newly acquired data. This time trend cannot be seen on each graph because of the scale of the concentration.

Figure 1

**Sulfur Dioxide Maximum 3-Hour Average Concentration**





Figure 2

**Sulfur Dioxide Maximum 24-Hour Average Concentration**



**Figure 3**  
**Sulfur Dioxide Annual Average Concentration**




Figure 4

**Nitrogen Dioxide Maximum 1-Hour Average Concentration**




Figure 5

**Nitrogen Dioxide Annual Average Concentration**




Figure 6

**Formaldehyde Maximum 1-Hour Average Concentration**




Figure 7

**Formaldehyde Annual Average Concentration**




Figure 7A:  
2004 Mean Formaldehyde Concentrations with 95% Confidence Intervals in Minnesota





Figure was provided by the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA).

Figure 8

**Carbon Tetrachloride Annual Average Concentration**



**Figure 9**  
**Benzene Annual Average Concentration**




Figure 10  
Antimony Annual Average Concentration





Figure 11

Arsenic Annual Average Concentration



**Figure 12**  
**Beryllium Annual Average Concentration**




Figure 13  
Cadmium Annual Average Concentration




Figure 14

Total Chromium Annual Average Concentration




Figure 14A:

2004 Chromium Concentrations with 95% Confidence Intervals




Figure was provided by the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA).

**Figure 15**  
**Manganese Annual Average Concentration**




Figure 16  
Nickel 1-Hour Average Concentration





Figure 17  
Nickel Annual Average Concentration



**Table 3: Statistical Analysis of Metals with no HRV**

Site	Aluminum	Barium	Cobalt	Copper	Iron	Lead	Mercury	Selenium	Zinc
<b>420</b>									
<b>Average</b>	0.270	0.006	0.0003	0.080	0.583	0.006	0.0002	0.0030	0.024
<b>St. Dev.</b>	0.261	0.006	0.0003	0.071	0.422	0.008	0.0003	0.0030	0.021
<b>423</b>									
<b>Average</b>	0.161	0.005	0.0002	0.021	0.366	0.113	0.0002	0.0010	0.008
<b>St. Dev.</b>	0.182	0.008	0.0003	0.016	0.267	0.088	0.0003	0.0020	0.006
<b>441</b>									
<b>Average</b>	0.302	0.007	0.0003	0.079	0.751	0.005	0.0002	0.0020	0.056
<b>St. Dev.</b>	0.301	0.009	0.0003	0.060	0.668	0.004	0.0003	0.0020	0.002
<b>442</b>									
<b>Average</b>	0.234	0.005	0.0003	0.220	0.440	0.004	0.0002	0.0010	0.021
<b>St. Dev.</b>	0.199	0.006	0.0004	0.155	0.308	0.003	0.0003	0.0020	0.02

**Table 4: Summary of Results – 4<sup>th</sup> Quarter, 2004**  
(Table 1 of 4)

Site	Chemical	Time (scaled)	Limit (ppm)	Result (ppm) (scaled)
420	SO <sub>x</sub>	3 hr	497	15.3
423	SO <sub>x</sub>	3 hr	497	8.0
441	SO <sub>x</sub>	3 hr	497	6.7
442	SO <sub>x</sub>	3 hr	497	5.7
420	SO <sub>x</sub>	24 hr	140	5.0
423	SO <sub>x</sub>	24 hr	140	4.3
441	SO <sub>x</sub>	24 hr	140	1.5
442	SO <sub>x</sub>	24 hr	140	2.1
420	SO <sub>x</sub>	Annual	31	1.1
423	SO <sub>x</sub>	Annual	31	0.5
441	SO <sub>x</sub>	Annual	31	0.3
442	SO <sub>x</sub>	Annual	31	0.1
420	NO <sub>x</sub>	1 hr	250	53
423	NO <sub>x</sub>	1 hr	250	42
420	NO <sub>x</sub>	Annual	53.5	13.9
423	NO <sub>x</sub>	Annual	53.5	10.8
420	Formaldehyde	1 hr	77	2.1
423	Formaldehyde	1 hr	77	1.5
441	Formaldehyde	1 hr	77	1.0
442	Formaldehyde	1 hr	77	2.0
420	Formaldehyde	Annual	0.8	1.3
423	Formaldehyde	Annual	0.8	0.9
441	Formaldehyde	Annual	0.8	1.4
442	Formaldehyde	Annual	0.8	0.9

*Continued on next page*

**Table 4: Summary of Results – 4<sup>th</sup> Quarter, 2004**  
**(Table 2 of 4)**

<b>Site</b>	<b>Chemical</b>	<b>Time (scaled)</b>	<b>Limit (ppm)</b>	<b>Result (ppm) (scaled)</b>
<b>420</b>	Carbon Tetrachloride	Annual	0.11	0.09
<b>423</b>	Carbon Tetrachloride	Annual	0.11	0.09
<b>441</b>	Carbon Tetrachloride	Annual	0.11	0.09
<b>442</b>	Carbon Tetrachloride	Annual	0.11	0.09
<b>420</b>	Benzene	Annual	0.408	0.205
<b>423</b>	Benzene	Annual	0.408	0.140
<b>441</b>	Benzene	Annual	0.408	0.142
<b>442</b>	Benzene	Annual	0.408	0.140

*Continued on next page*

**Table 4: Summary of Results – 4<sup>th</sup> Quarter, 2004**  
**(Table 3 of 4)**

<b>Site</b>	<b>Chemical</b>	<b>Time</b>	<b>Limit (ug/m<sup>3</sup>)</b>	<b>Result (ug/m<sup>3</sup>)</b>
<b>420</b>	Antimony	Annual	0.2	0.001
<b>423</b>	Antimony	Annual	0.2	0.001
<b>441</b>	Antimony	Annual	0.2	0.001
<b>442</b>	Antimony	Annual	0.2	0.001
<b>420</b>	Arsenic	Annual	0.002	0.001
<b>423</b>	Arsenic	Annual	0.002	0.001
<b>441</b>	Arsenic	Annual	0.002	0.001
<b>442</b>	Arsenic	Annual	0.002	0.001
<b>420</b>	Beryllium	Annual	0.006	0.00006
<b>423</b>	Beryllium	Annual	0.006	0.00006
<b>441</b>	Beryllium	Annual	0.006	0.00007
<b>442</b>	Beryllium	Annual	0.006	0.00006
<b>420</b>	Cadmium	Annual	0.006	0.0002
<b>423</b>	Cadmium	Annual	0.006	0.0003
<b>441</b>	Cadmium	Annual	0.006	0.0002
<b>442</b>	Cadmium	Annual	0.006	0.0002
<b>420</b>	Total Chromium	Annual	0.0008	0.003
<b>423</b>	Total Chromium	Annual	0.0008	0.002
<b>441</b>	Total Chromium	Annual	0.0008	0.003
<b>442</b>	Total Chromium	Annual	0.0008	0.003

*Continued on next page*

**Table 4: Summary of Results – 4<sup>th</sup> Quarter, 2004**  
**(Table 4 of 4)**

<b>Site</b>	<b>Chemical</b>	<b>Time*</b>	<b>Limit (ug/m<sup>3</sup>)</b>	<b>Result (ug/m<sup>3</sup>)</b>
<b>420</b>	Manganese	Annual	0.2	0.02
<b>423</b>	Manganese	Annual	0.2	0.02
<b>441</b>	Manganese	Annual	0.2	0.04
<b>442</b>	Manganese	Annual	0.2	0.02
<b>420</b>	Nickel	1 hr	0.04	0.002
<b>423</b>	Nickel	1 hr	0.04	0.001
<b>441</b>	Nickel	1 hr	0.04	0.002
<b>442</b>	Nickel	1 hr	0.04	0.001
<b>420</b>	Nickel	Annual	0.04	0.002
<b>423</b>	Nickel	Annual	0.04	0.001
<b>441</b>	Nickel	Annual	0.04	0.001
<b>442</b>	Nickel	Annual	0.04	0.001

\* = 1 hour time for nickel was scaled.